CHAPTER 5

COVARIATE ASSOCIATIONS

INTRODUCTION

This chapter evaluates the covariates used in adjusted statistical analyses for significant associations with initial dioxin levels for the Ranch Hand participants and current dioxin levels for the Ranch Hands and the Comparisons. The evaluation, with respect to initial dioxin levels for the Ranch Hand participants, was performed under both the minimal and the maximal assumptions (i.e., Ranch Hands with current dioxin above 10 ppt and above 5 ppt, respectively; see Chapter 4, Statistical Methods, for a further discussion of these assumptions). Associations between the covariates and the health status variables are documented in the previous Air Force Health Study report of the 1987 examination data (1).

Table 5-1 presents geometric mean dioxin levels (transformed from the logarithm base 2 scale) and sample sizes by covariate category under both assumptions for initial dioxin and under both group classifications (i.e., Ranch Hands and Comparisons) for current dioxin. Mean dioxin levels, expressed in parts per trillion (ppt), were evaluated for statistical significance across the defined categories of a particular covariate (e.g., under both assumptions, initial dioxin means of Black and non-Black Ranch Hand participants were compared for a statistically significant difference). The aggregate sample size and the significance probability associated with comparing dioxin means across covariate levels are included in the table. Aggregate sample sizes may differ from covariate to covariate because of missing covariate information. The significance probability was determined from statistics calculated on the logarithm base 2 scale of the serum dioxin concentration. For covariates on a continuous scale, the correlation coefficient and the associated significance probability are presented in the table. The correlation coefficient is based on the association between the covariate and the logarithm base 2 of the serum dioxin concentration. Dioxin levels equal to zero were assigned a value of 0.1 ppt due to the logarithmic transformation used in the analyses of all Ranch Hands and all Comparisons.

MATCHING VARIABLES (AGE, RACE, AND OCCUPATION)

The variables age, race, and military occupation were used in the design of the Air Force Health Study to match Ranch Hand participants with Comparisons and thus reduce the association between these variables and group status. It was not possible to eliminate the association of these variables with serum dioxin through the study design, however.

In general, age at Baseline (1982) exhibited a significant negative correlation with initial dioxin ($p<0.001$ under both the minimal and maximal assumptions). For Ranch Hands born in or after 1942, and for those born before 1942, initial dioxin means were 226.6 ppt and 148.5 ppt under the minimal assumption. Corresponding means of initial dioxin under the maximal assumption were 149.9 and 101.6 ppt, respectively. For all Ranch Hand participants a significant negative correlation between age and current dioxin was exhibited ($p<0.001$). The current dioxin means were 19.3 ppt and 11.7 ppt for Ranch Hands born in or after 1942 and Ranch Hands born before 1942. For the Comparisons the correlation between age and current dioxin was also significant, but positive ($p<0.001$). The current dioxin means were 3.0 ppt for Comparisons born in or after 1942 and 4.0 ppt for Comparisons born before 1942.

TABLE 5-1.

Relationship of Covariates to Initial and Current Dioxin

Variable	Statistic	Initial Dioxin (Ranch Hands) Assumption: Minimal	Initial Dioxin (Ranch Hands) Assumption: Maximal	Current Dioxin: Ranch Hand	Current Dioxin: Comparison
Matching Variables					
Age (continuous)	n	521	742	866	804
	Correlation	-0.240	-0.200	-0.205	0.155
	p-Value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Age (year of birth) (discrete)	n	521	742	866	804
	Mean (n)				
	Born≥1942	226.6 (237)	149.9 (314)	19.3 (355)	3.0 (330)
	Born<1942	148.5 (284)	101.6 (428)	11.7 (511)	4.0 (474)
	p-Value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Race	n	521	742	866	804
	Mean (n)				
	Black	134.5 (32)	114.7 (38)	14.6 (44)	2.9 (49)
	Non-Black	183.5 (489)	120.0 (704)	14.4 (822)	3.6 (755)
	p-Value	0.011	0.701	0.904	0.288
Occupation	n	521	742	866	804
	Mean (n)				
	Officer	91.7 (108)	61.4 (246)	7.7 (319)	4.0 (291)
	Enlisted Flyer	172.3 (108)	134.7 (132)	16.3 (148)	3.7 (127)
	Enlisted Groundcrew	232.1 (305)	180.2 (364)	23.2 (399)	3.2 (386)
	p-Value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.007
Alcohol Variables					
Current Alcohol Use (continuous)	n	518	737	861	804
	Correlation	0.043	0.014	0.039	0.023
	p-Value	0.326	0.703	0.255	0.523
Current Alcohol Use (drinks/day) (discrete)	n	518	737	861	804
	Mean (n)				
	0-1	181.8 (420)	121.4 (594)	14.3 (696)	3.6 (630)
	>1-4	158.4 (83)	105.5 (124)	13.6 (143)	3.2 (143)
	>4	276.6 (15)	182.2 (19)	22.3 (22)	4.5 (31)
	p-Value	0.051	0.049	0.171	0.100

TABLE 5-1. (Continued)

Relationship of Covariates to Initial and Current Dioxin

Variable	Statistic	Initial Dioxin (Ranch Hands) Assumption: Minimal	Initial Dioxin (Ranch Hands) Assumption: Maximal	Current Dioxin: Ranch Hand	Current Dioxin: Comparison
Lifetime Alcohol History (continuous)	n	515	733	857	802
	Correlation	0.044	0.057	0.012	0.005
	p-Value	0.318	0.125	0.728	0.894
Lifetime Alcohol History (drink-years) (discrete)	n	515	733	857	802
	Mean (n)				
	0	233.7 (57)	163.7 (73)	18.7 (85)	3.8 (61)
	>0-40	167.5 (345)	110.1 (507)	13.4 (599)	3.5 (547)
	>40	192.8 (113)	134.3 (153)	15.8 (173)	3.6 (194)
	p-Value	0.012	0.001	0.021	0.810
Current Wine Use (continuous)	n	517	737	861	803
	Correlation	-0.111	-0.110	-0.054	-0.007
	p-Value	0.011	0.003	0.110	0.853
Current Wine Use (drinks/day) (discrete)	n	517	737	861	803
	Mean (n)				
	0	197.2 (349)	139.9 (459)	16.7 (526)	3.6 (458)
	>0	148.5 (168)	92.1 (278)	11.3 (335)	3.5 (345)
	p-Value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.656
Lifetime Wine History (continuous)	n	517	736	860	802
	Correlation	-0.160	-0.107	-0.059	0.018
	p-Value	<0.001	0.004	0.086	0.603
Lifetime Wine History (drink-years) (discrete)	n	517	736	860	802
	Mean (n)				
	0	207.4 (301)	144.2 (398)	16.9 (458)	3.6 (403)
	>0-10	151.9 (191)	97.1 (302)	11.8 (363)	3.5 (367)
	>10	117.9 (25)	87.5 (36)	12.9 (39)	4.3 (32)
	p-Value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.482

TABLE 5-1. (Continued)

Relationship of Covariates to Initial and Current Dioxin

Variable	Statistic	Initial Dioxin (Ranch Hands) Assumption: Minimal	Initial Dioxin (Ranch Hands) Assumption: Maximal	Current Dioxin: Ranch Hand	Current Dioxin: Comparison
Smoking Variables					
Current Cigarette Smoking (continuous)	n	521	742	866	804
	Correlation	0.013	0.034	-0.067	-0.074
	p-Value	0.758	0.355	0.049	0.035
Current Cigarette Smoking (cigarettes/ day) (discrete)	n	521	742	866	804
	Mean (n)				
	0-Never	189.0 (135)	114.1 (207)	15.2 (236)	4.3 (223)
	0-Former	169.1 (196)	113.6 (282)	14.5 (323)	3.5 (336)
	>0-20	187.9 (101)	137.4 (131)	14.5 (159)	2.9 (128)
	>20	182.7 (89)	126.6 (122)	12.9 (148)	3.1 (117)
	p-Value	0.603	0.208	0.587	<0.001
Lifetime Cigarette Smoking History (continuous)	n	521	742	866	804
	Correlation	-0.064	-0.010	-0.094	-0.013
	p-Value	0.147	0.783	0.006	0.719
Lifetime Cigarette Smoking History (pack-years) (discrete)	n	521	742	866	804
	Mean (n)				
	0	187.7 (136)	113.8 (208)	15.1 (237)	4.3 (223)
	>0-10	180.6 (152)	124.5 (206)	15.3 (237)	2.9 (218)
	>10	175.3 (233)	120.7 (328)	13.5 (392)	3.6 (363)
	p-Value	0.749	0.621	0.297	<0.001
Sun Exposure-Related Variables					
Average Lifetime Residential Latitude[a]	n	489	704	821	750
	Mean (n)				
	Latitude <37°	196.5 (205)	126.1 (295)	14.8 (344)	3.7 (385)
	Latitude ≥37°	174.6 (284)	115.8 (409)	14.2 (477)	3.6 (365)
	p-Value	0.128	0.247	0.596	0.786

TABLE 5-1. (Continued)

Relationship of Covariates to Initial and Current Dioxin

Variable	Statistic	Initial Dioxin (Ranch Hands) Assumption: Minimal	Initial Dioxin (Ranch Hands) Assumption: Maximal	Current Dioxin: Ranch Hand	Current Dioxin: Comparison
Ethnic Background[a,b]	n	476	687	801	738
	Mean (n)				
	AB	179.8 (447)	116.5 (654)	14.0 (767)	3.7 (701)
	CDE	260.4 (29)	214.8 (33)	29.1 (34)	2.9 (37)
	p-Value	0.022	<0.001	<0.001	0.115
Skin Color[a]	n	489	703	821	755
	Mean (n)				
	Peach	183.3 (395)	122.5 (559)	14.7 (651)	3.6 (615)
	Non-Peach	184.3 (94)	111.5 (144)	13.4 (170)	3.5 (140)
	p-Value	0.952	0.293	0.354	0.582
Hair Color[a]	n	489	704	822	754
	Mean (n)				
	Black/Dark Brown	196.7 (332)	129.0 (467)	15.7 (541)	3.6 (524)
	Other	158.4 (157)	104.2 (237)	12.2 (281)	3.7 (230)
	p-Value	0.008	0.005	0.004	0.486
Eye Color[a]	n	488	703	821	753
	Mean (n)				
	Brown	206.2 (150)	135.4 (211)	16.4 (242)	3.4 (227)
	Hazel/Green	167.8 (144)	113.5 (205)	13.3 (241)	3.4 (188)
	Grey/Blue	179.6 (194)	114.4 (287)	13.8 (338)	3.9 (338)
	p-Value	0.101	0.097	0.103	0.072
Reaction of Skin to Sun After at Least 2 Hours, After First Exposure[a]	n	489	704	822	755
	Mean (n)				
	Burned Painfully	182.6 (35)	123.3 (48)	14.8 (56)	5.0 (48)
	Burned	170.1 (63)	117.6 (87)	14.9 (102)	3.7 (90)
	Became Red	192.8 (195)	120.1 (292)	14.2 (345)	3.5 (326)
	No Reaction	179.1 (196)	120.1 (277)	14.3 (319)	3.5 (291)
	p-Value	0.720	0.995	0.997	0.062

TABLE 5-1. (Continued)

Relationship of Covariates to Initial and Current Dioxin

Variable	Statistic	Initial Dioxin (Ranch Hands) Assumption: Minimal	Initial Dioxin (Ranch Hands) Assumption: Maximal	Current Dioxin: Ranch Hand	Current Dioxin: Comparison
Reaction of Skin to Sun After Repeated Exposure[a]	n	489	704	822	754
	Mean (n)				
	Freckled-No Tan	202.4 (11)	138.1 (15)	15.9 (18)	5.6 (18)
	Tanned Mildly	207.2 (74)	149.4 (95)	16.1 (119)	3.4 (109)
	Tanned Moderately	178.3 (246)	113.8 (366)	14.5 (417)	3.8 (393)
	Tanned Deep Brown	179.9 (158)	118.2 (228)	13.4 (268)	3.4 (234)
	p-Value	0.565	0.094	0.507	0.088
Composite Sun Reaction Index[a,c]	n	489	704	822	754
	Mean (n)				
	Low	180.7 (358)	116.5 (526)	14.0 (609)	3.5 (557)
	Medium	194.3 (90)	134.5 (121)	15.8 (147)	3.4 (139)
	High	184.9 (41)	124.4 (57)	15.1 (66)	5.1 (58)
	p-Value	0.764	0.319	0.496	0.008
Carcinogen Exposure Variables					
Asbestos Exposure	n	521	742	866	804
	Mean (n)				
	Yes	183.6 (129)	121.3 (185)	14.6 (212)	3.7 (195)
	No	178.8 (392)	119.3 (557)	14.3 (654)	3.5 (609)
	p-Value	0.754	0.832	0.802	0.580
Ionizing Radiation Exposure	n	521	742	866	804
	Mean (n)				
	Yes	160.6 (105)	115.7 (143)	12.3 (175)	3.5 (212)
	No	185.2 (416)	120.8 (599)	15.0 (691)	3.6 (592)
	p-Value	0.118	0.626	0.070	0.833
Industrial Chemical Exposure	n	521	742	866	804
	Mean (n)				
	Yes	196.8 (311)	138.8 (408)	16.6 (470)	3.4 (443)
	No	157.8 (210)	100.0 (334)	12.1 (396)	3.8 (361)
	p-Value	0.003	<0.001	<0.001	0.043

TABLE 5-1. (Continued)

Relationship of Covariates to Initial and Current Dioxin

Variable	Statistic	Initial Dioxin (Ranch Hands) Assumption: Minimal	Initial Dioxin (Ranch Hands) Assumption: Maximal	Current Dioxin: Ranch Hand	Current Dioxin: Comparison
Herbicide Exposure	n	521	742	866	804
	Mean (n)				
	Yes	180.5 (493)	119.7 (703)	14.6 (816)	3.8 (263)
	No	170.6 (28)	121.3 (39)	11.9 (50)	3.5 (541)
	p-Value	0.728	0.933	0.227	0.151
Insecticide Exposure	n	521	742	866	804
	Mean (n)				
	Yes	173.0 (381)	118.0 (537)	14.1 (626)	3.7 (454)
	No	200.5 (140)	124.6 (205)	15.2 (240)	3.5 (350)
	p-Value	0.074	0.484	0.391	0.430
Degreasing Chemical Exposure	n	521	742	866	804
	Mean (n)				
	Yes	196.0 (353)	137.3 (471)	17.1 (529)	3.6 (496)
	No	150.5 (168)	94.5 (271)	10.9 (337)	3.6 (308)
	p-Value	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.926
Anthracene Exposure	n	521	742	866	803
	Mean (n)				
	Yes	83.4 (1)	83.4 (1)	15.0 (1)	4.0 (3)
	No	180.3 (520)	119.8 (741)	14.4 (865)	3.6 (800)
	p-Value	0.357	0.704	0.971	0.832
Arsenic Exposure	n	521	741	865	803
	Mean (n)				
	Yes	156.0 (11)	100.5 (18)	12.9 (21)	3.1 (13)
	No	180.6 (510)	120.4 (723)	14.4 (844)	3.6 (790)
	p-Value	0.567	0.426	0.669	0.557
Benzene Exposure	n	521	742	866	804
	Mean (n)				
	Yes	226.2 (21)	162.6 (27)	16.9 (33)	3.7 (21)
	No	178.3 (500)	118.4 (715)	14.3 (833)	3.6 (783)
	p-Value	0.201	0.089	0.522	0.893

TABLE 5-1. (Continued)

Relationship of Covariates to Initial and Current Dioxin

		Initial Dioxin (Ranch Hands)			
		Assumption		Current Dioxin	
Variable	Statistic	Minimal	Maximal	Ranch Hand	Comparison
Benzidine Exposure	n	521	742	866	802
	Mean (n)				
	Yes	127.5 (5)	93.8 (7)	7.5 (9)	3.7 (9)
	No	180.6 (516)	120.0 (735)	14.5 (857)	3.6 (793)
	p-Value	0.355	0.495	0.313	0.929
Chromate Exposure	n	519	739	863	804
	Mean (n)				
	Yes	232.5 (36)	159.2 (47)	17.8 (55)	3.3 (39)
	No	176.6 (483)	117.5 (692)	14.2 (808)	3.6 (765)
	p-Value	0.057	0.034	0.160	0.593
Coal Tar Exposure	n	521	742	866	804
	Mean (n)				
	Yes	137.0 (18)	121.7 (20)	9.7 (27)	4.1 (27)
	No	181.8 (503)	119.7 (722)	14.6 (839)	3.6 (777)
	p-Value	0.158	0.940	0.207	0.459
Creosote Exposure	n	521	742	866	804
	Mean (n)				
	Yes	175.7 (47)	125.6 (62)	13.8 (76)	3.2 (63)
	No	180.4 (474)	119.2 (680)	14.4 (790)	3.6 (741)
	p-Value	0.837	0.683	0.752	0.381
Aminodiphenyl Exposure	n	521	742	866	802
	Mean (n)				
	Yes	83.2 (2)	83.2 (2)	14.4 (2)	4.4 (4)
	No	180.5 (519)	119.9 (740)	14.4 (864)	3.6 (798)
	p-Value	<0.001	<0.001	0.998	0.649
Chloromethyl Ether Exposure	n	520	740	864	804
	Mean (n)				
	Yes	144.3 (3)	65.4 (8)	6.0 (10)	4.2 (11)
	No	180.1 (517)	120.5 (732)	14.5 (854)	3.6 (793)
	p-Value	0.648	0.070	0.015	0.267

TABLE 5-1. (Continued)

Relationship of Covariates to Initial and Current Dioxin

Variable	Statistic	Initial Dioxin (Ranch Hands) Assumption: Minimal	Initial Dioxin (Ranch Hands) Assumption: Maximal	Current Dioxin: Ranch Hand	Current Dioxin: Comparison
Mustard Gas Exposure	n	521	742	866	804
	Mean (n)				
	Yes	126.3 (3)	126.3 (3)	10.2 (4)	3.8 (4)
	No	180.4 (518)	119.7 (739)	14.4 (862)	3.6 (800)
	p-Value	0.461	0.923	0.553	0.633
Naphthylamine Exposure	n	521	741	865	803
	Mean (n)				
	Yes	219.1 (23)	179.5 (26)	19.9 (30)	3.3 (20)
	No	178.4 (498)	118.2 (715)	14.2 (835)	3.6 (783)
	p-Value	0.249	0.028	0.217	0.759
Cutting Oils Exposure	n	521	742	866	804
	Mean (n)				
	Yes	174.1 (76)	118.8 (107)	13.9 (124)	3.0 (102)
	No	181.0 (445)	119.9 (635)	14.5 (742)	3.7 (702)
	p-Value	0.706	0.924	0.693	0.076
Trichloro-ethylene Exposure	n	518	738	862	804
	Mean (n)				
	Yes	207.5 (57)	142.4 (76)	15.5 (91)	3.3 (71)
	No	176.7 (461)	117.3 (662)	14.2 (771)	3.6 (733)
	p-Value	0.170	0.092	0.547	0.386
Ultraviolet Light (Not Sun) Exposure	n	521	742	866	803
	Mean (n)				
	Yes	142.7 (13)	101.1 (18)	13.8 (20)	4.2 (17)
	No	181.1 (508)	120.3 (724)	14.4 (846)	3.6 (786)
	p-Value	0.311	0.445	0.808	0.232
Vinyl Chloride Exposure	n	520	741	865	803
	Mean (n)				
	Yes	209.1 (10)	144.1 (13)	17.0 (15)	4.1 (11)
	No	179.5 (510)	119.3 (728)	14.3 (850)	3.6 (792)
	p-Value	0.568	0.478	0.564	0.363

TABLE 5-1. (Continued)

Relationship of Covariates to Initial and Current Dioxin

Variable	Statistic	Initial Dioxin (Ranch Hands) Assumption: Minimal	Initial Dioxin (Ranch Hands) Assumption: Maximal	Current Dioxin: Ranch Hand	Current Dioxin: Comparison
Composite Carcinogen Exposure	n	515	731	855	796
	Mean (n)				
	Yes	192.9 (155)	134.2 (208)	16.4 (236)	3.3 (179)
	No	174.3 (360)	114.7 (523)	13.6 (619)	3.6 (617)
	p-Value	0.209	0.045	0.038	0.157
Personal and Family Health Variables					
Cholesterol (continuous)	n	521	742	866	804
	Correlation	0.054	0.046	0.051	0.046
	p-Value	0.217	0.215	0.137	0.196
Cholesterol (mg/dl) (discrete)	n	521	742	866	804
	Mean (n)				
	≤200	168.4 (163)	112.0 (238)	13.0 (287)	3.4 (281)
	>200-230	175.8 (177)	120.7 (244)	15.2 (275)	3.4 (244)
	>230	195.6 (181)	126.4 (260)	15.1 (304)	3.9 (279)
	p-Value	0.227	0.362	0.175	0.139
HDL (continuous)	n	521	742	866	804
	Correlation	-0.074	-0.142	-0.136	-0.099
	p-Value	0.090	<0.001	<0.001	0.005
HDL (µg/dl) (discrete)	n	521	742	866	804
	Mean (n)				
	≤40	182.7 (206)	138.6 (261)	17.5 (289)	3.9 (264)
	>40-50	188.6 (173)	121.7 (251)	14.5 (294)	3.7 (294)
	>50	166.5 (142)	99.6 (230)	11.6 (283)	3.1 (246)
	p-Value	0.400	<0.001	<0.001	0.008
Cholesterol-HDL Ratio (continuous)	n	521	742	866	804
	Correlation	0.078	0.146	0.148	0.109
	p-Value	0.076	<0.001	<0.001	0.002

TABLE 5-1. (Continued)

Relationship of Covariates to Initial and Current Dioxin

Variable	Statistic	Initial Dioxin (Ranch Hands) Assumption: Minimal	Initial Dioxin (Ranch Hands) Assumption: Maximal	Current Dioxin: Ranch Hand	Current Dioxin: Comparison
Cholesterol-HDL Ratio (discrete)	n	521	742	866	804
	Mean (n)				
	≤4.2	158.1 (138)	97.0 (222)	11.3 (274)	3.0 (264)
	>4.2-5.5	187.9 (199)	124.5 (283)	15.2 (322)	3.9 (286)
	>5.5	189.3 (184)	139.3 (237)	17.2 (270)	3.9 (254)
	p-Value	0.104	<0.001	<0.001	0.001
Diabetic Class[d]	n	519	740	863	802
	Mean (n)				
	Normal	174.4 (371)	112.8 (548)	13.5 (648)	3.4 (620)
	Impaired	176.2 (82)	123.7 (110)	14.8 (130)	4.0 (115)
	Diabetic	221.9 (66)	169.9 (82)	21.9 (85)	4.5 (67)
	p-Value	0.095	0.001	0.001	0.028
Differential Cortisol Response (continuous)	n	509	721	839	770
	Correlation	-0.024	-0.059	-0.076	-0.052
	p-Value	0.583	0.112	0.027	0.152
Differential Cortisol Response (mg/dl) (discrete)	n	509	721	839	770
	Mean (n)				
	≤0.6	191.7 (185)	132.0 (251)	15.7 (288)	3.6 (275)
	>0.6-4.0	189.0 (192)	127.5 (265)	16.4 (299)	3.8 (262)
	>4.0	155.5 (132)	101.4 (205)	11.5 (252)	3.3 (233)
	p-Value	0.056	0.007	<0.001	0.315
Percent Body Fat (continuous)	n	521	742	866	804
	Correlation	0.139	0.210	0.300	0.154
	p-Value	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Percent Body Fat (discrete)	n	521	742	866	804
	Mean (n)				
	Lean/Normal: ≤25%	170.4 (389)	110.2 (579)	12.9 (693)	3.3 (608)
	Obese: >25%	211.4 (132)	161.1 (163)	22.4 (173)	4.4 (196)
	p-Value	0.018	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

TABLE 5-1. (Continued)

Relationship of Covariates to Initial and Current Dioxin

Variable	Statistic	Initial Dioxin (Ranch Hands) Assumption: Minimal	Initial Dioxin (Ranch Hands) Assumption: Maximal	Current Dioxin: Ranch Hand	Current Dioxin: Comparison
Family History of Heart Disease	n	521	742	866	804
	Mean (n)				
	Yes	176.9 (125)	118.5 (178)	13.9 (208)	3.5 (177)
	No	181.0 (396)	120.2 (564)	14.6 (658)	3.6 (627)
	p-Value	0.793	0.867	0.591	0.765
Family History of Heart Disease Before Age 50	n	521	742	866	804
	Mean (n)				
	Yes	179.0 (17)	106.5 (27)	14.5 (30)	2.3 (26)
	No	180.0 (504)	120.3 (715)	14.4 (836)	3.6 (778)
	p-Value	0.979	0.515	0.970	0.134
Other Variables					
Education	n	517	737	860	799
	Mean (n)				
	High School	198.0 (322)	153.1 (395)	18.2 (448)	3.5 (400)
	College	153.4 (195)	89.8 (342)	11.1 (412)	3.7 (399)
	p-Value	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.378
Blood Type	n	519	738	861	802
	Mean (n)				
	A	182.4 (224)	125.0 (307)	15.0 (351)	3.6 (311)
	AB	171.9 (18)	111.8 (27)	14.6 (31)	4.3 (24)
	B	184.5 (54)	128.5 (72)	14.9 (87)	3.8 (98)
	O	177.3 (223)	114.4 (332)	13.8 (392)	3.4 (369)
	p-Value	0.973	0.593	0.773	0.469
Presence of Pre-SEA Acne	n	521	742	866	804
	Mean (n)				
	Yes	193.0 (53)	133.6 (71)	15.1 (88)	2.8 (88)
	No	178.6 (468)	118.4 (671)	14.3 (778)	3.4 (716)
	p-Value	0.523	0.309	0.819	0.246

TABLE 5-1. (Continued)

Relationship of Covariates to Initial and Current Dioxin

Variable	Statistic	Initial Dioxin (Ranch Hands) Assumption: Minimal	Initial Dioxin (Ranch Hands) Assumption: Maximal	Current Dioxin: Ranch Hand	Current Dioxin: Comparison
Personality Type	n	506	717	834	769
	Mean (n)				
	Type A	173.9 (222)	112.3 (331)	13.6 (381)	3.5 (325)
	Type B	185.2 (284)	128.3 (386)	15.3 (453)	3.6 (444)
	p-Value	0.401	0.061	0.148	0.685

[a]Blacks excluded.

[b]Ethnic Background – A: English, Welsh, Scottish, or Irish
B: Scandinavian, German, Polish, Russian, Other Slavic, Jewish, or French
C: Spanish, Italian, or Greek
D: Mexican, American Indian, or Asian
E: African
AB: A or B
CDE: C, D, or E.

[c]Composite Sun Reaction Index (from reaction of skin after at least 2 hours after first exposure and reaction of skin after repeated exposure) – High: Burns painfully and/or freckles with no tan
Medium: Burns and/or tans mildly
Low: All other reactions.

[d]Diabetic Class – Normal: <140 mg/dl 2-hour postprandial glucose
Impaired: ≥140-<200 mg/dl 2-hour postprandial glucose
Diabetic: Verified past history of diabetes or ≥200 mg/dl 2-hour postprandial glucose.

Note: All means expressed in parts per trillion and have been transformed from the logarithm (base 2) scale.

Under the minimal assumption, the Black and non-Black Ranch Hand categories had significantly different initial dioxin means (134.5 ppt versus 183.5 ppt, p=0.011). Under the maximal assumption, the initial dioxin means were not significantly different between the race categories (p=0.701). The current dioxin means were also not significantly different between the race categories for all Ranch Hand participants and for all Comparisons (Ranch Hands, p=0.904; Comparisons, p=0.288).

As expected, the initial dioxin means differed significantly, under both assumptions, among the Ranch Hands who served as officers, enlisted flyers, and enlisted groundcrew (minimal, p<0.001; maximal, p<0.001). The initial dioxin means, under the minimal assumption, were 91.7 ppt for the officers, 172.3 ppt for the enlisted flyers, and 232.1 ppt for the enlisted groundcrew. The corresponding means under the maximal assumption were 61.4, 134.7, and 180.2 ppt, respectively. The current dioxin means also differed significantly for all Ranch Hands (p<0.001) and for all Comparisons (p=0.007). However, for the Ranch Hands, the enlisted groundcrew had the highest current dioxin mean (officers: 7.7 ppt; enlisted flyers: 16.3 ppt; enlisted groundcrew: 23.2 ppt), whereas, for the Comparisons, the officers had the highest current dioxin mean (officers: 4.0 ppt; enlisted flyers: 3.7 ppt; enlisted groundcrew: 3.2 ppt). (See Chapter 2, Dioxin Assay, for a further discussion of these results.)

DRINKING HABITS

Drinking habits were analyzed on the basis of current alcohol use, lifetime alcohol history, current wine use, and lifetime wine history.

Under the minimal assumption, the mean initial dioxin levels for Ranch Hands with current alcohol use values categorized as zero to one drink per day, over one but no more than four drinks per day, and over four drinks per day were marginally significant (p=0.051; 0-1 drink per day: 181.8 ppt; >1-4 drinks per day: 158.4 ppt; >4 drinks per day: 276.6 ppt). Under the maximal assumption, the mean initial dioxin levels differed significantly (p=0.049) with corresponding means of 121.4 ppt, 105.5 ppt, and 182.2 ppt for increasing current alcohol use categories. However, when current alcohol use was treated as a continuous variable, the correlation between current alcohol use and initial dioxin was not significant under both assumptions (minimal, p=0.326; maximal, p=0.703).

For all Ranch Hand participants, the mean current dioxin levels did not differ significantly among the current alcohol use categories (p=0.171). The differences were marginally significant for all Comparisons (p=0.100; 0-1 drink per day: 3.6 ppt; >1-4 drinks per day: 3.2 ppt; >4 drinks per day: 4.5 ppt). The correlation between current alcohol use, when treated as a continuous variable, and current dioxin was nonsignificant for both groups (Ranch Hands, p=0.255; Comparisons, p=0.523).

Under both assumptions, mean initial dioxin levels differed significantly among Ranch Hands who had lifetime alcohol history values of 0 drink-years, over 0 but no more than 40 drink-years, and over 40 drink-years (minimal, p=0.012; maximal, p=0.001). (See Chapter 7, Malignancy Assessment, for a definition of drink-years.) For these lifetime alcohol history categories, the mean initial dioxin levels for the minimal cohort were 233.7, 167.5, and 192.8 ppt, respectively. For the maximal cohort, the corresponding mean initial dioxin levels were 163.7, 110.1, and 134.3 ppt, respectively. Under both assumptions, however, the correlation

between lifetime alcohol history and initial dioxin was not significant when lifetime alcohol history was treated as a continuous variable (minimal, p=0.318; maximal, p=0.125).

The mean current dioxin levels were significantly different among the lifetime alcohol categories for all Ranch Hand participants (p=0.021). The current dioxin means for the categories of 0 drink-years, over 0 but no more than 40 drink-years, and over 40 drink-years were 18.7, 13.4, and 15.8 ppt. For all Comparisons, the differences in the mean current dioxin levels were not significant (p=0.810). When lifetime alcohol history was treated as a continuous variable, the correlation between lifetime alcohol history and current dioxin was not significant for both groups (Ranch Hands, p=0.728; Comparisons, p=0.894).

Under both the minimal and maximal assumptions, the mean initial dioxin levels differed significantly between Ranch Hands who reported they did not drink wine and Ranch Hands who reported they drank wine at the time of the 1987 examination (minimal, p<0.001; maximal, p<0.001). The mean initial dioxin levels for the minimal cohort were 197.2 ppt for Ranch Hands with zero drinks per day and 148.5 ppt for Ranch Hands with more than zero drinks per day. For the maximal cohort, the corresponding mean initial dioxin levels were 139.9 ppt and 92.1 ppt. When current wine use was treated as a continuous variable, a significant negative correlation between current wine use and initial dioxin was exhibited under both assumptions (minimal, p=0.011; maximal, p=0.003).

For all Ranch Hand participants, the mean current dioxin level was significantly higher for Ranch Hands who reported they did not drink wine than for Ranch Hands who reported they drank wine at the time of the 1987 examination (p<0.001). The current dioxin means were 16.7 ppt and 11.3 ppt for the two current wine use strata (i.e., 0 drinks per day and >0 drinks per day). However, the correlation between current wine use, when treated as a continuous variable, and current dioxin was nonsignificant for all Ranch Hand participants (p=0.110). For all Comparisons, the current dioxin means did not differ significantly between the two current wine use categories (p=0.656). The correlation between current wine use and current dioxin was also nonsignificant for the Comparisons (p=0.853).

The mean initial dioxin levels differed significantly among the lifetime wine history categories (0 drink-years, >0-10 drink-years, and >10 drink-years) under both assumptions (minimal, p<0.001; maximal, p<0.001). Under the minimal assumption, the mean initial dioxin levels were 207.4, 151.9, and 117.9 ppt for the lifetime wine history categories (0 drink-years, >0-10 drink-years, and >10 drink-years). Under the maximal assumption, the corresponding means were 144.2, 97.1, and 87.5 ppt, respectively. When lifetime wine history was treated as a continuous variable, a significant negative correlation between lifetime wine history and current dioxin was exhibited under both assumptions (minimal, p<0.001; maximal, p=0.004).

There was a significant difference in the mean current dioxin levels for all Ranch Hand participants with lifetime wine history values of 0 drink-years, greater than 0 but no more than 10 drink-years, and greater than 10 drink-years (p<0.001). The mean current dioxin levels were 16.9, 11.8, and 12.9 ppt for the lifetime wine history categories, respectively. For all Ranch Hand participants, there was a marginally significant negative correlation between lifetime wine history, when treated as a continuous variable, and current dioxin (p=0.086). For all Comparisons, the difference in mean current dioxin levels among the lifetime wine

history categories was not significant (p=0.482). In contrast to the Ranch Hands, the correlation between lifetime wine history and current dioxin was positive, but nonsignificant for all Comparisons (p=0.603).

SMOKING HABITS

The covariates used to evaluate smoking habits were current cigarette smoking and lifetime cigarette smoking history.

Under the minimal and maximal assumptions, the mean initial dioxin levels were not significantly different for Ranch Hands with current cigarette smoking habits categorized as follows: never smoked, formerly smoked, smoked no more than 20 cigarettes per day, and smoked over 20 cigarettes per day (minimal, p=0.603; maximal, p=0.208). Similarly, the mean current dioxin levels were not significantly different among the defined current cigarette smoking categories for all Ranch Hand participants (p=0.587). However, for all Comparisons, there was a significant difference in the mean current dioxin levels among the current cigarette smoking categories (p<0.001). The mean current dioxin levels were 4.3 ppt for those who never smoked, 3.5 ppt for those who formerly smoked, 2.9 ppt for those who smoked no more than 20 cigarettes per day, and 3.1 ppt for those who smoked over 20 cigarettes per day.

When current cigarette smoking was treated as a continuous variable, the correlation between initial dioxin and current cigarette smoking was not significant under both assumptions (minimal, p=0.758; maximal, p=0.355). However, for all Ranch Hand participants, the correlation between current dioxin and current cigarette smoking was significantly negative (p=0.049). For all Comparisons, there was also a significant negative association between current dioxin and current cigarette smoking (p=0.035).

Mean initial dioxin levels were compared for Ranch Hands who had categorized lifetime cigarette smoking history values of 0 pack-years, up to 10 pack-years, and over 10 pack-years. (See Chapter 7 for a definition of pack-years.) Under both assumptions, the means were not significantly different (minimal, p=0.749; maximal, p=0.621). In addition, mean current dioxin levels also did not differ significantly among all Ranch Hand participants for the categorized lifetime cigarette smoking history values (p=0.297). However, there was a significant difference in mean current dioxin levels for all Comparisons (p<0.001; 0 pack-years: 4.3 ppt; >0-10 pack-years: 2.9 ppt; >10 pack-years: 3.6 ppt).

The correlation between initial dioxin and lifetime cigarette smoking, when treated as a continuous variable, was not significant under both assumptions (minimal, p=0.147; maximal, p=0.783). Likewise, the correlation between current dioxin and lifetime cigarette smoking was not significant for all Comparisons (p=0.719). However, for all Ranch Hand participants, there was a significant negative correlation between current dioxin and lifetime cigarette smoking (p=0.006).

SUN EXPOSURE CHARACTERISTICS

The following covariates characterize sun exposure and reaction to sun exposure: average lifetime residential latitude, ethnic background, skin color, hair color, eye color, reaction of skin to sun after at least 2 hours of exposure after first exposure, reaction of skin

to sun after repeated exposure, and a composite sun-reaction index. These variables were candidate covariates for the skin neoplasm analyses. Since Blacks were excluded in the analyses of skin neoplasms, they were also excluded in these analyses.

A line connecting San Francisco, California, and Richmond, Virginia, approximates 37 degrees North latitude. Participants were classified into two categories depending on whether their average lifetime residential latitude was above or below 37 degrees North latitude. The determination of each participant's average lifetime residential latitude is discussed in Chapter 7. Under both the minimal and maximal assumptions, the initial dioxin means did not differ significantly between Ranch Hands who resided in the northern latitudes ($\geq 37^{\circ}$ N. latitude) and those who resided in the southern latitudes ($<37^{\circ}$ N. latitude) (minimal, p=0.128; maximal, p=0.247). The current dioxin means also did not differ significantly between the north and the south for all Ranch Hand participants (p=0.596) and for all Comparisons (p=0.786).

For this study, ethnic background was divided into five categories (A: English, Welsh, Scottish, or Irish; B: Scandinavian, German, Polish, Russian, Other Slavic, Jewish, or French; C: Spanish, Italian, or Greek; D: Mexican, American Indian, or Asian; E: African). These five categories were combined into two categories for this analysis (A and B in one category; C, D, and E in the other). Under the minimal assumption, there was a significant difference in the mean initial dioxin levels between these two categories (p=0.022; AB: 179.8 ppt, CDE: 260.4 ppt). The mean initial dioxin levels also differed significantly under the maximal assumption (p<0.001; AB: 116.5 ppt; CDE: 214.8 ppt). For all Ranch Hand participants there was a significant difference in the mean current dioxin levels (p<0.001; AB: 14.0 ppt; CDE: 29.1 ppt), but, for all Comparisons, the difference in the current dioxin means was not significant (p=0.115). For the Ranch Hands, the current dioxin mean was greater for the CDE category, whereas, for the Comparisons, the AB category had the larger current dioxin mean.

There were no significant differences, under either assumption, in the mean initial dioxin levels between Ranch Hands with skin color categorized as peach and those whose skin color was not peach (minimal, p=0.952; maximal, p=0.293). The difference in the mean current dioxin levels was nonsignificant for all Ranch Hand participants (p=0.354) and for all Comparisons (p=0.582).

Under both assumptions, the initial dioxin means were significantly different between Ranch Hands with black or dark brown hair and other Ranch Hands (minimal, p=0.008; maximal, p=0.005). The means, under the minimal assumption, were 196.7 ppt for black or dark brown hair and 158.4 ppt for other hair colors. Under the maximal assumption, the corresponding means were 129.0 and 104.2 ppt. The difference in the current dioxin means was significant for all Ranch Hand participants (p=0.004), but not for all Comparisons (p=0.486). For the Ranch Hands, the current dioxin means were 15.7 ppt (black/dark brown) and 12.2 ppt (other); whereas, for the Comparisons, the current dioxin mean was lower for the black/dark brown hair category than for the other category.

No significant association was found between eye color and initial dioxin under the minimal assumption (p=0.101). However, under the maximal assumption, there was a

marginally significant difference in the initial dioxin means among the eye color categories of brown, hazel/green, and grey/blue (p=0.097). The initial dioxin means were 135.4, 113.5, and 114.4 ppt, respectively. For all Ranch Hand participants, the association between eye color and current dioxin was nonsignificant (p=0.103). There was, however, a marginally significant association for all Comparisons (p=0.072). The current dioxin means for the Comparisons were 3.4, 3.4, and 3.9 ppt for the brown, hazel/green, and grey/blue categories.

The reaction of one's skin after at least 2 hours of exposure to the sun, after the first exposure, was not significantly associated with initial dioxin under either assumption (minimal, p=0.720; maximal, p=0.995). There was also no significant association with current dioxin for all Ranch Hand participants (p=0.997). For all Comparisons, however, there was a marginally significant difference in the current dioxin means among the skin reaction categories (p=0.062). The means were 3.5 ppt for Comparisons who reported they experienced no reaction, 3.5 ppt for those who became red, 3.7 ppt for those who burned, and 5.0 ppt for those who burned painfully.

The reaction of one's skin, after repeated exposure to the sun, was not significantly associated with initial dioxin under the minimal assumption (p=0.565). However, under the maximal assumption, there was a marginally significant association (p=0.094). The initial dioxin means were 118.2 ppt for those who reported they tanned deep brown, 113.8 ppt for those who tanned moderately, 149.4 ppt for those who tanned mildly, and 138.1 ppt for those who freckled with no tan. For all Ranch Hand participants, there was no significant association between current dioxin and skin reaction to repeated sun exposure (p=0.507). For all Comparisons, however, the differences in the current dioxin means among the skin reaction categories (tanned deep brown, tanned moderately, tanned mildly, and freckled with no tan) were marginally significant (p=0.088). The current dioxin means were 3.4, 3.8, 3.4, and 5.6 ppt, respectively.

A composite sun-reaction index was formed from the two skin reaction measures and categorized as follows: high (burns painfully and/or freckles with no tan), medium (burns and/or tans mildly), and low (all other reactions). The mean initial dioxin levels for these categories did not differ significantly under both the minimal and the maximal assumptions (minimal, p=0.764; maximal, p=0.319). There were also no significant differences in the mean current dioxin levels for all Ranch Hand participants (p=0.496). However, for all Comparisons, the current dioxin means differed significantly (p=0.008) with means of 3.5, 3.4, and 5.1 ppt for the low, medium, and high sun reaction categories.

EXPOSURE TO CARCINOGENS

Information was gathered on each participant's exposure to 21 different carcinogens. (See Chapter 7 for a discussion of these carcinogens.) These carcinogens were divided into two sets. The first set consisted of asbestos, ionizing radiation, industrial chemicals, herbicides, insecticides, and degreasing chemicals. The other set contained anthracene, arsenic, benzene, benzidine, chromate, coal tar, creosote, aminodiphenyl, chloromethyl ether, mustard gas, naphthylamine, cutting oils, trichloroethylene, ultraviolet light, and vinyl chloride. A composite carcinogen exposure variable was created from the second set. The response was coded as "yes" if the individual had been exposed to any of the 15 carcinogens.

The mean initial dioxin levels did not differ between those Ranch Hands who had been exposed to ionizing radiation and those who had not been exposed (minimal, p=0.118; maximal, p=0.626). There was also no significant difference in the current dioxin means for all Comparisons (p=0.833). However, for all Ranch Hands, there was a marginally significant difference in the current dioxin means between those who had been exposed to ionizing radiation and those who had not been exposed (p=0.070; exposed: 12.3 ppt, not exposed: 15.0 ppt).

Under both the minimal and maximal assumptions, Ranch Hands who had been exposed to industrial chemicals had a significantly higher mean initial dioxin level than those who had not been exposed (minimal, p=0.003; maximal, p<0.001). Under the minimal assumption, the mean initial dioxin levels were 196.8 ppt for those who had been exposed and 157.8 ppt for those who had not been exposed. Under the maximal assumption, the means were 138.8 ppt and 100.0 ppt. Ranch Hand participants who had been exposed to industrial chemicals also had a higher mean current dioxin level than those who had not been exposed (p<0.001; exposed: 16.6 ppt; not exposed: 12.1 ppt). There was also a significant difference for all Comparisons (p=0.043), but the exposed category had a lower current dioxin level mean than the nonexposed category (exposed: 3.4 ppt; not exposed: 3.8 ppt).

Under the minimal assumption, there was a marginally significant difference in the mean initial dioxin levels between Ranch Hands who had been exposed to insecticides and those who had not been exposed (173.0 ppt versus 200.5 ppt; p=0.074). Under the maximal assumption, the difference was not significant (p=0.484). For all Ranch Hand participants and for all Comparisons, the mean current dioxin levels did not differ between the two insecticide exposure categories (Ranch Hands, p=0.391; Comparisons, p=0.430).

Under both assumptions, the Ranch Hands who reported being exposed to degreasing chemicals had a higher mean initial dioxin level than those who had not been exposed (minimal, p=0.001; maximal, p<0.001). The means, under the minimal assumption, were 196.0 ppt for those who had been exposed and 150.5 ppt for those who had not been exposed. Under the maximal assumption, the corresponding means were 137.3 ppt and 94.5 ppt, respectively. The mean current dioxin level was also higher for all Ranch Hand participants who reported exposure to degreasing chemicals than for those who reported no exposure (17.1 ppt versus 10.9 ppt; p<0.001). For all Comparisons, the difference was nonsignificant (p=0.926).

For the other two carcinogens in the first set (asbestos and herbicides), no significant differences in the initial dioxin means were found between the exposed category and the nonexposed category, under both assumptions. There were also no significant differences in the current dioxin means for all Ranch Hands and all Comparisons (see Table 5-1 for the associated significance probabilities).

There was no significant difference, under the minimal assumption, between the initial dioxin mean for those who had been exposed to benzene and the initial dioxin mean for those who had not been exposed (p=0.201). However, under the maximal assumption, those who had been exposed to benzene had a marginally higher initial dioxin mean than those who had not been exposed (162.6 ppt versus 118.4 ppt; p=0.089). The current dioxin means did not

differ significantly for all Ranch Hand participants and for all Comparisons (Ranch Hands, p=0.522; Comparisons, p=0.893).

Ranch Hands who had been exposed to chromate had a marginally higher initial dioxin mean, under the minimal assumption, and a significantly higher initial dioxin mean, under the maximal assumption, than those who had not been exposed (minimal, p=0.057; maximal, p=0.034). The means under the minimal assumption were 232.5 ppt for the exposed category and 176.6 ppt for the nonexposed category. Under the maximal assumption, the corresponding means were 159.2 ppt and 117.5 ppt, respectively. For all Ranch Hand participants and for all Comparisons, the current dioxin means did not differ significantly (Ranch Hands, p=0.160; Comparisons, p=0.593).

The mean initial dioxin levels differed significantly between Ranch Hands who had been exposed to aminodiphenyl and those who had not been exposed, under both assumptions (minimal, p<0.001; maximal, p<0.001). Those who had been exposed had a lower mean than those who had not been exposed (minimal, 83.2 ppt versus 180.5 ppt; maximal, 83.2 ppt versus 119.9 ppt). For all Ranch Hand participants and for all Comparisons, the mean current dioxin levels did not differ significantly (Ranch Hands, p=0.998; Comparisons, p=0.649). However, there were only two Ranch Hand participants and four Comparisons who had been exposed to aminodiphenyl.

Under the minimal assumption, there was no significant difference between the initial dioxin mean for Ranch Hands who had been exposed to chloromethyl ether and the mean for those who had not been exposed (p=0.648). Under the maximal assumption, the difference was marginally significant (p=0.070). The means were 65.4 ppt for those who reported being exposed to chloromethyl ether and 120.5 ppt for those who reported no exposure. There were, however, only three Ranch Hands in the minimal cohort and eight in the maximal cohort who had been exposed to chloromethyl ether. The current dioxin means for the two exposure categories did not differ significantly for all Comparisons (p=0.267), but did differ significantly for all Ranch Hand participants (p=0.015; exposed: 6.0 ppt, not exposed: 14.5 ppt).

Under the maximal assumption, the mean initial dioxin level for those Ranch Hands who had been exposed to naphthylamine was significantly higher than for those who had not been exposed (179.5 ppt versus 118.2 ppt; p=0.028). The difference was not significant under the minimal assumption (p=0.249). For all Ranch Hand participants and for all Comparisons, there was no significant difference between the naphthylamine exposure categories (Ranch Hands, p=0.217; Comparisons, p=0.759).

Under both assumptions, there was no significant difference in the initial dioxin means for Ranch Hands who were exposed to cutting oils and those who were not (minimal, p=0.706; maximal, p=0.924). There was also no significant difference in the current dioxin means for all Ranch Hand participants (p=0.693). For all Comparisons, however, the current dioxin mean was marginally lower for those who had been exposed to cutting oils than for those who had not been exposed (3.0 ppt versus 3.7 ppt; p=0.076).

Ranch Hands in the maximal cohort who had been exposed to trichloroethylene had a marginally higher initial dioxin mean than those who had not been exposed (142.4 ppt versus

117.3 ppt; p=0.092). The difference was not significant under the minimal assumption (p=0.170). There was also no significant difference in the current dioxin means for all Ranch Hand participants and for all Comparisons (Ranch Hands, p=0.547; Comparisons, p=0.386).

With respect to the remaining carcinogens in the second set (anthracene, arsenic, benzidine, coal tar, creosote, mustard gas, ultraviolet light, and vinyl chloride), the initial dioxin means did not differ significantly between the exposed and nonexposed categories. Similarly, for all Ranch Hand participants and all Comparisons, the current dioxin means were not significantly different between the exposed and nonexposed categories. Table 5-1 presents the associated significance probabilities.

For the composite carcinogen exposure variable, under the minimal assumption, there was no significant difference between the initial dioxin mean of the exposed category and the initial dioxin mean of the nonexposed category (p=0.209). Under the maximal assumption, those Ranch Hands who had been exposed to any of the carcinogens in the second set had a significantly higher initial dioxin mean than those who had not been exposed (134.2 ppt versus 114.7 ppt; p=0.045). The mean current dioxin level was also significantly higher for all Ranch Hands who had been exposed, as compared to those who had not been exposed (16.4 ppt versus 13.6 ppt; p=0.038). In contrast, for all Comparisons, those who had not been exposed to any of the carcinogens had a higher current dioxin mean (3.6 ppt) than those who had been exposed (3.3 ppt), but the difference was not significant (p=0.157).

PERSONAL AND FAMILY HEALTH

The personal health covariates used in this study were cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL), cholesterol-HDL ratio, diabetic class, differential cortisol response, and percent body fat. Family health was also taken into account by means of family history of heart disease and family history of heart disease before the age of 50. No participants were excluded from the association analyses for these variables.

The correlation between cholesterol and initial dioxin was not significant under either assumption (minimal, p=0.217; maximal, p=0.215). The differences in the initial dioxin means for the three cholesterol categories (≤200 mg/dl; >200-230 mg/dl; >230 mg/dl) were also nonsignificant under both assumptions (minimal, p=0.227; maximal, p=0.362). For all Ranch Hand participants and for all Comparisons, the correlation between current dioxin and cholesterol was not significant (Ranch Hands, p=0.137; Comparisons, p=0.196). The current dioxin means also did not differ significantly among the cholesterol categories (Ranch Hands, p=0.175; Comparisons, p=0.139).

Under the minimal assumption, there was a marginally significant negative correlation between HDL and initial dioxin (p=0.090). However, the initial dioxin means for the three HDL categories (≤40 mg/dl; >40-50 mg/dl; >50 mg/dl) did not differ significantly (p=0.400). Under the maximal assumption, there was a significant negative correlation between HDL and initial dioxin (p<0.001), and the differences in the initial dioxin means among the HDL categories was also significant (p<0.001; ≤40 mg/dl: 138.6 ppt; >40-50 mg/dl: 121.7 ppt; >50 mg/dl: 99.6 ppt). The correlation between current dioxin and HDL was significant for all Ranch Hand participants (p<0.001) and for all Comparisons (p=0.005). The mean current dioxin levels also differed significantly among the HDL categories for both groups (Ranch

Hands, p<0.001; Comparisons, p=0.008). For all Ranch Hand participants, the means were 17.5, 14.5, and 11.6 ppt for the HDL categories (≤40 mg/dl, >40-50 mg/dl, and >50 mg/dl). For all Comparisons, the corresponding means were 3.9, 3.7, and 3.1 ppt, respectively.

The results for the cholesterol-HDL ratio were similar, but in the opposite direction, to the HDL results. Under the minimal assumption, there was a marginally significant positive correlation between initial dioxin and the cholesterol-HDL ratio (p=0.076), but the initial dioxin means did not differ significantly among the cholesterol-HDL categories (p=0.104). Under the maximal assumption, there was a significant correlation between initial dioxin and the cholesterol-HDL ratio (p<0.001) and there was a significant difference in the initial dioxin means (p<0.001; ≤4.2: 97.0 ppt; >4.2-5.5: 124.5 ppt; >5.5: 139.3 ppt). For all Ranch Hand participants and for all Comparisons, there was a significant positive correlation between current dioxin and the cholesterol-HDL ratio (Ranch Hands, p<0.001; Comparisons, p=0.002). The current dioxin means for the cholesterol-HDL categories also differed significantly for both groups (Ranch Hands, p<0.001; Comparisons, p=0.001). For the cholesterol-HDL ratio categories (≤4.2, >4.2-5.5, and >5.5), the current dioxin means were 11.3, 15.2, and 17.2 ppt for the Ranch Hands and 3.0, 3.9, and 3.9 ppt for the Comparisons.

Under the minimal assumption, there was a marginally significant difference in the mean initial dioxin levels for Ranch Hands classified as normal, impaired, and diabetic (p=0.095). The mean initial dioxin levels were 174.4, 176.2, and 221.9 ppt for the normal, impaired, and diabetic classes. Under the maximal assumption, the mean initial dioxin levels differed significantly among the three diabetic classes (p=0.001; normal: 112.8 ppt; impaired: 123.7 ppt; diabetic: 169.9 ppt).

For all Ranch Hand participants, a significant difference in the mean current dioxin levels was exhibited among the three diabetic classes (p=0.001). The means were 13.5, 14.8, and 21.9 ppt for the normal, impaired, and diabetic classifications. For all Comparisons, there was also a significant difference in the mean current dioxin levels for the three diabetic classes (p=0.028). The means were 3.4, 4.0, and 4.5 ppt, respectively.

The correlation between initial dioxin and differential cortisol response was not significant under either the minimal or maximal assumptions (minimal, p=0.583; maximal, p=0.112). However, the differences in the initial dioxin means among the differential cortisol response categories (≤0.6 μg/dl; >0.6-4.0 μg/dl; >4.0 μg/dl) were marginally significant under the minimal assumption (p=0.056) and significant under the maximal assumption (p=0.007). The initial dioxin means were 191.7, 189.0, and 155.5 ppt under the minimal assumption and 132.0, 127.5, and 101.4 ppt under the maximal assumption. For all Ranch Hand participants, there was a significant negative correlation between current dioxin and differential cortisol response (p=0.027) and a significant difference in the current dioxin means among the differential cortisol response categories (p<0.001; ≤0.6 μg/dl: 15.7 ppt; >0.6-4.0 μg/dl: 16.4 ppt; >4.0 μg/dl: 11.5 ppt). For all Comparisons, neither the correlation between current dioxin and differential cortisol response (p=0.152) nor the difference in the current dioxin means among the differential cortisol response categories (p=0.315) was significant.

Percent body fat and initial dioxin exhibited a significant positive correlation under both assumptions (minimal, p=0.001; maximal, p<0.001). There was also a significant positive

correlation between percent body fat and current dioxin for all Ranch Hand participants and for all Comparisons (Ranch Hands, $p<0.001$; Comparisons, $p<0.001$).

Under both the minimal and maximal assumptions, Ranch Hands who had been classified as obese had a significantly higher mean initial dioxin level than those who had been classified as normal or lean (minimal, $p=0.018$; maximal, $p<0.001$). The means, under the minimal assumption, were 211.4 ppt for the obese category and 170.4 ppt for the normal/lean category. Under the maximal assumption, the corresponding means were 161.1 ppt and 110.2 ppt, respectively. Similarly, for current dioxin levels, all Ranch Hands who had been classified as obese had a higher mean current dioxin level than those who had been classified as normal or lean ($p<0.001$; obese: 22.4 ppt; normal/lean: 12.9 ppt). The mean current dioxin level for all Comparisons who had been classified as obese was also higher than the mean for all Comparisons who had been classified as normal or lean ($p<0.001$; obese: 4.4 ppt; normal/lean: 3.3 ppt).

Under both the minimal and the maximal assumptions, there was no significant association between initial dioxin and either family history of heart disease (minimal, $p=0.793$; maximal, $p=0.867$) or family history of heart disease before the age of 50 (minimal, $p=0.979$; maximal, $p=0.515$). For all Ranch Hand participants and for all Comparisons, the association with current dioxin was also nonsignificant for family history of heart disease (Ranch Hands, $p=0.591$; Comparisons, $p=0.765$) and for family history of heart disease before the age of 50 (Ranch Hands, $p=0.970$; Comparisons, $p=0.134$).

OTHER CHARACTERISTICS

The relationship with initial and current dioxin was also examined for education, blood type, presence of pre-Southeast Asia (SEA) acne, and personality type.

Ranch Hands with only a high school education had a significantly higher mean initial dioxin level than those with a college education, under both assumptions (minimal, $p=0.001$; maximal, $p<0.001$). Under the minimal assumption, the means were 198.0 ppt and 153.4 ppt for the high school and college categories. Under the maximal assumption, the means were 153.1 ppt and 89.8 ppt, respectively. The mean current dioxin level for all Ranch Hand participants with only a high school education was significantly greater than the mean for all Ranch Hand participants with a college education (18.2 ppt versus 11.1 ppt; $p<0.001$). For all Comparisons, the college graduates had a larger current dioxin mean than those with only a high school education, but the difference was not significant ($p=0.378$).

No significant differences in the mean initial dioxin levels were found among the four blood types (A, B, AB, and O) under either the minimal or the maximal assumption (minimal, $p=0.973$; maximal, $p=0.593$). For all Ranch Hand participants and for all Comparisons the differences in the mean current dioxin levels among the four blood types were also nonsignificant (Ranch Hands, $p=0.773$; Comparisons, $p=0.469$).

Under the minimal and maximal assumptions, the initial dioxin mean for the Ranch Hands with acne prior to their first SEA tour was not significantly different from the mean for those without acne before their first SEA tour (minimal, $p=0.523$; maximal, $p=0.309$). The current dioxin means also did not differ significantly between the Ranch Hand participants

with pre-SEA acne and those without (p=0.819) nor between the Comparisons with and without pre-SEA acne (p=0.246).

Under the minimal assumption, the mean initial dioxin levels for individuals classified as either type A or type B (by the Jenkins Activity Survey administered at the 1985 followup examination) were not significantly different (p=0.401). However, under the maximal assumption, the mean initial dioxin levels for Ranch Hands classified as type A (112.3 ppt) and Ranch Hands classified as type B (128.3 ppt) were marginally different (p=0.061). For all Ranch Hand participants, the difference in the mean current dioxin levels between type A and type B individuals was not significant (p=0.148). For all Comparisons, there was also no significant difference in the mean current dioxin levels (p=0.685).

SUMMARY

Among the matching variables, age and occupation exhibited a significant association with dioxin in one direction for Ranch Hands and in the opposite direction for Comparisons. Age had a negative correlation with initial dioxin for Ranch Hands under the minimal and maximal assumptions and a negative correlation with current dioxin for all Ranch Hands; whereas, for all Comparisons, age and current dioxin were positively correlated. In the analysis of occupation, the dioxin means were greatest for Ranch Hands in the enlisted groundcrew, but for Comparisons, the officers had the greater dioxin means, although all Comparison means were below generally accepted background levels (10 ppt).

For most of the alcohol variables, a significant association was exhibited with initial dioxin for the minimal and maximal cohorts, and with current dioxin for all Ranch Hands. However, for all Comparisons, the association with current dioxin was not significant. For Ranch Hands, the correlations between alcohol use and dioxin, when significant, tended to be negative.

For both smoking variables (current cigarette smoking and lifetime cigarette smoking history), the current dioxin means differed significantly among the smoking categories for all Comparisons. In both cases the correlation between smoking and dioxin was negative. In contrast, for the minimal and maximal cohorts and for all Ranch Hands, the dioxin means did not differ significantly.

The only sun exposure-related variables that had a significant association with dioxin were ethnic background and hair color for Ranch Hands and the composite sun reaction index for Comparisons.

In the analyses of the carcinogen exposure variables—degreasing chemicals, chromate, and naphthylamine—the exposed category had a higher dioxin mean than the nonexposed category, when the dioxin means differed significantly. In the analyses of aminodiphenyl and chloromethyl ether, the nonexposed category had a higher mean than the exposed category. Ranch Hands (including those in the minimal and maximal cohorts and all Ranch Hands) who had been exposed to industrial chemicals had higher dioxin means than those who had not been exposed; whereas, Comparisons who had been exposed to industrial chemicals had a lower dioxin mean than those who had not been exposed. For the composite carcinogen

exposure variable, Ranch Hands with an affirmative response had a higher dioxin mean than those who had not been exposed to any of the 15 specific carcinogens.

Among the personal and family health variables, percent body fat and the cholesterol-HDL ratio showed a significant positive correlation with dioxin for Ranch Hands and Comparisons, and HDL showed a significant negative correlation with dioxin. For both Ranch Hands and Comparisons, diabetic class also exhibited a significant association with dioxin, in which the dioxin means were greatest for the diabetic category.

Education was the only other variable to be significantly associated with dioxin. This association, in which college graduates had a lower dioxin mean than high school graduates, was only significant for Ranch Hands.

CONCLUSION

Many of the significant associations between dioxin and the covariates in the Ranch Hand group can be attributed to an indirect effect of occupational rank, which is highly associated with current serum levels of dioxin. For example, the decreasing relationship between age and dioxin occurred because enlisted groundcrew, who have the highest current dioxin levels of the Ranch Hands, were also the youngest occupational category, while officers, who have the lowest levels, were the oldest occupational category. Adjusting for occupation, the association between dioxin and age became nonsignificant under both the minimal ($p=0.138$) and maximal ($p=0.712$) assumptions. By contrast, the reason for the significant positive association with age in the Comparison group is not as apparent, but may be due to accumulation of normal background levels with time.

Significant associations in the Ranch Hand group between dioxin and education, industrial chemical exposure, degreasing chemical exposure, and wine consumption can also be explained by occupational differences (officers were more likely to be college educated, less likely to have been exposed to industrial or degreasing chemicals, and more likely to drink wine than the enlisted personnel). As with age, these associations (except for lifetime wine consumption under the minimal assumption) became nonsignificant after adjusting for occupation.

More difficult to understand are the associations in the Comparison group between current levels of dioxin with several of the covariates. Most of the Comparison group are assumed to have background levels (97.8% are less than 10 ppt) and there is no obvious related factor (such as occupation) that could explain the associations. Of the 51 covariates (discrete and continuous versions counted as one), 9 were significant at or below the 0.05 level. By chance alone, one would expect about two significant associations. The interrelatedness of some of the covariates may have inflated the number of significant results observed. Most of the significant associations were for the health variables (HDL, cholesterol-HDL ratio, diabetes, and percent body fat) that were also associated significantly with dioxin in the Ranch Hand group.

CHAPTER 5

REFERENCES

1. Thomas, W.F., W.D. Grubbs, T.G. Karrison, M.B. Lustik, R.H. Roegner, D.E. Williams, W.H. Wolfe, J.E. Michalek, and J.C. Miner. 1990. Epidemiologic investigation of health effects in Air Force personnel following exposure to herbicides: 1987 followup examination results, NTIS: AD A 222 573. USAF School of Aerospace Medicine, Brooks Air Force Base, Texas.